

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,525	10/29/2003	Chieng-Chung Chen	500-004	6390
24002 ANTHONY R.	7590 03/06/2007	,	EXAM	INER
20 GATEWAY	LANE		PHAN, TRONG Q	
MANORVILLE, NY 11949			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/696,525	CHEN, CHIENG-CHUN	NG '
Examiner	Art Unit	
TRONG PHAN	2827	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, aff places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must time periods:	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origines to form the context of the context of the shortened statutory period for reply origines for the context of t	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 3 and 10 cm.	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially re	TE below);
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,7-9,12 and 13.	Il be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a New because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavity was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appearshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entered. The claims after entered in the claims.	ntry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	phaw brong
13. Other:	0
	TRONG PHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The new feature of "wherein the MOS transistor has a source, a drain, and a gate connected together to the node and to one plate of the storage capacitor, and wherein another plate of the storage capacitor is connected to receive the clock signal of the driving circuit" added to the amended claims 1 and 9 raises new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's Fig. 1 Prior Art is directed to a pumping circuit using only one single NMOS capacitor 12 as the charging capacitor for DRAM device (see lines 15-17, page 1 of the original specification); Liu, 5,796,670, discloses in Fig. 3 the teaching of using of a series-connected charging capacitors 22 and 32 instead of one charging capacitor for having a relatively low cost of manufacture, substantially high data storage capacity, and low power consumption (see lines 26-33, column 1); Countryman, Jr., 4,532,611, discloses in Fig. 5 the teaching of using MOS transistor 37 having a source, a drain and a gate connected together in a charging capacitor configuration (see lines 53-54, column 4). Therefore, combination of Applicant's Fig. 1 Prior Art, Liu, 5,796,670, and Countryman, Jr., 4,532,611, is totally proper to render claims 1, 7-9 and 12-13 obvious under 35 USC 103(a) and even with the propose amended claims 1 and 9.